

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,472	09/23/2003	Ray W. Wood	029318-0976	9063
31049	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
ELAN DRUG DELIVERY, INC.			HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA	
C/O FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 3000 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5109			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/667,472	WOOD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mina Haghighatian	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2006. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 10-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23 and 27-74 is/are version (s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-22 and 24-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the organization.	vithdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 01/30/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,472

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Remarks and IDS filed on 01/30/06. Claims 10-74 are pending of which claims 29-74 are withdrawn from consideration due to restriction. Claims 23 and 27-28 are withdrawn form examination at this time due to including non-elected species. Accordingly claims 10-22 and 24-26 remain under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of claims 10-22 and 24-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liversidge et al (5,145,684) in view of Drug Information Handbook is maintained.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,472

Art Unit: 1616

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The rejection of claims 10-22, 24-26 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,922 B1 is maintained.

The rejection of claims 10-22, 24-26 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,811,767 B is maintained.

The rejection of claims 10-22, 24-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,747,001 is maintained.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach or suggest a nanoparticulate composition of beclomethasone dipropionate. Applicant states that "Liversidge discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/667,472

Art Unit: 1616

a lengthy list of classes of drugs, such as corticosteroids, and examples of some particular drug substances, such as Steroid A. However, Liversidge makes no mention of beclomethasone dipropionate". Applicant also argues that Drug Information Handbook does not remedy the said deficiency because there is no motivation to combine. This is not persuasive because in an obviousness rejection one prior art document is not required to recite all the limitation. Liversidge teaches what appears to be the instant invention, which is surface modified nanoparticulates. Liversidge also teaches that various active agents can be made into surface modified nanoparticulates including the class of corticosteroids and gives an example of a Steroid A. one of ordinary skill in the art can immediately envision a selection form the class of corticosteroids, since beclomethason dipropionate is a well known and widely used member of this class. Drug Information Handbook is used as a support to show that beclomethason dipropionate is indeed widely used and well known corticosteroid.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1616

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mina Haghighat an March 31, 2006

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER